

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

PATENT APPLICATION Mo4532 LeA 31,223

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION OF		J	
FRANK RICHTER ET AL) GROUP NO.: 1711)	
SERIAL NUMBER: 08/713,905		EXAMINER: R. SERGENT	
FILED:	SEPTEMBER 13, 1996)	RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 13
TITLE:	PROCESS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF ETHE ISOCYANATES) ER)	4000 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700 1700
REPLY BRIEF			EF 1200
Assistant Commissioner for Patents			

In response to the Examiner's Answer dated May 13, 1998, Appellants wish to address several points raised therein.

Date

REMARKS

 Statements made in Appellants' specification with respect to the prior art and the advantages of the invention over that prior art do provide proper support for Appellants' hydrolyzable chlorine content claim limitation.

At page 4, lines 1-5 of his Answer, the Examiner argues:

Despite appellants' argument, the position is taken that statements within the specification pertaining to the prior art and the hydrolyzable chlorine content of the prior art cannot provide support for claim limitations pertaining to the hydrolyzable chlorine content of the instant invention.

Appellants submit that this position is not supported by any authority. In fact, the Examiner's position is contrary to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences' decision in Ex parte Porter, 25 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1144 (1992) in which it was held that the discussion of the relevant prior art in the specification did provide support for the invention being claimed.

The above-quoted position of the Examiner does not therefore provide a proper basis for the rejection of Appellants' Claims 3 and 4 under the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph description requirement.

Appellants' Examples must be read in context.

At page 4, lines 6-9 of his Answer, the Examiner argues:

Furthermore, appellants' only disclosure of hydrolyzable chlorine contents for the instant invention stems from the examples; however, the disclosed amounts within the examples range from 24 to 48 ppm.

Appellants would direct the Board's attention to page 10, lines 25-26 of their specification. In this discussion of the results of the Comparative Examples, it is reported that in each of the Comparative Examples, "The residual chlorine content of the product was in no case below 0.1%." It is clear from this language and the discussion of the prior art in the Background of the Invention section of the specification that Appellants considered isocyanates having hydrolyzable chlorine

Mo4532 -2-

contents of 0.1% or higher to be outside the scope of their invention at the time their application was filed.

Appellants' Examples can **not** be read in isolation to determine whether Appellants were in possession of the claimed invention at the time their application was filed. Those Examples must be read in the context of the entire specification, **including** the discussion of the results achieved in Comparative Examples and the discussion of the prior art.

One skilled in the art reading their specification in its entirety would readily appreciate that Appellants considered isocyanates having a hydrolyzable chlorine content of 0.1% or higher to be outside the scope of their invention at the time they filed this application.

Appellants' specification does therefore satisfy the description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

3. The Examiner's argument with respect to motivation to combine the teachings of the four cited references does not satisfy the requirements for a proper rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

At page 5, lines 14-23 of his Answer, the Examiner argues that the teachings of the secondary references with respect to increased yield would motivate one skilled in the art to phosgenate the ether amines of Lehmann et al in the vapor phase with the expectation of obtaining purer isocyanates and more economical processes.

Appellants submit that this argument is not supported by the teachings of the references themselves. The yields reported by Lehmann et al range from 65 to 81% of theoretical. The yields reported in Bischof et al range from 42 to 99% of theoretical (obviously not always higher than those reported by Lehmann et al). Not one of the references mentions hydrolyzable chlorine. Not one of the cited references discusses the economics of the process disclosed therein.

The Examiner has ignored the differences between the ether amines required in Appellants' claimed process and the amines used in the cited secondary references despite the teaching of Lehmann et al that any phosgenation of ether

Mo4532 -3-

amines (other than those required in the reference process) would produce high amounts of ether cleavage products instead of the desired isocyanates.

It is well established that motivation is not abstract but practical. <u>In re Gyurik</u> and <u>Kingsbury</u>, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979).

The "wish" to obtain higher yields, higher purity and a more economical process attributed to the skilled artisan by the Examiner is not, however, the practical motivation required to establish a proper *prima facie* case of obviousness based on the references selected by the Examiner.

4. The fact that an invention is not limited to the materials required by the prior art is relevant to the obviousness of an invention.

At page 6, lines 8-11 of his Answer, the Examiner argues that because Appellants' claims do not exclude the diamines of Lehmann et al, Appellants' argument that their process is not limited to the specific diamines taught by Lehmann et al to be critical is without merit.

This argument might have some merit **if** Lehmann et al taught gas phase phosgenation of the disclosed ether diamines. However, Lehmann et al does not.

The rejection of Appellants' Claims 1 and 2 is based on 35 U.S.C. § 103 (**not** § 102). The fact that processing details are substantially identical to those of a reference (in the present case, the secondary references) lends nothing to a rejection under § 103 absent a showing that it would have been obvious to substitute one starting material for another. Disclosure of a known relationship is not a disclosure of equivalency and is not sufficient to support a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In re Mercier, 185 USPQ 774 (CCPA 1975)

In the present case, no equivalence between the amines disclosed in the secondary references and the ether amines required by Lehmann et al has been established. In fact, Lehmann et al itself teaches that all ether polyamines are **not** equivalent.

The cited references do not therefore provide a proper basis for a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Mo4532

5. Appellants' process of Claims 1 and 2 must be conducted under pressure.

At page 7, lines 3-5 of his Answer, the Examiner argues:

However, appellants' claims are not limited to the use of elevated temperatures; appellants' claims allow for temperatures as low as 50°C.

This argument is made to support the Examiner's position that Lehmann et al would lead one skilled in the art to use a vapor phase phosgenation process.

Appellants would direct the Board's attention to the fact that unlike the Lehmann et al process, their claimed process must be carried out **under pressure**.

It is known that the measured boiling point of a substance is affected by the pressure at which the boiling point is measured. (See, e.g., the definition of boiling point given on the enclosed copy of page 90 taken from Grant & Hackh's <u>Chemical Dictionary</u>.) As stated in this discussion of boiling point, a reduction in pressure of only 10 mm will reduce the boiling point of water by 0.37°C.

Appellants' use of temperatures as low as 50°C in a process conducted under pressure does not therefore support the Examiner's argument that Lehmann et al's teaching of higher temperatures at ambient pressure would lead one skilled in the art to a gas phase phosgenation process.

Mo4532

For these reasons and those discussed in their Brief, Appellants continue to maintain that each of the Examiner's rejections is in error and respectfully request that each of these rejections be reversed and that Claims 1-4 be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK RICHTER
JOSEF PEDAIN
KLAUS NATCHKAMP
ANDREAS FLINK

By

Lyndanne M. Whalen Attorney for Appellants Reg. No. 29,457

Bayer Corporation 100 Bayer Road Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15205-9741 (412) 777-2347 FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER: (412) 777-5449 /vjt/LMW2859

GRANT & HACKH'S

CHEMICAL DICTIONARY

[American, International, European and British Usage]

Containing the Words Generally Used in Chemistry, and Many of the Terms Used in the Related Sciences of Physics, Medicine, Engineering, Biology, Pharmacy, Astrophysics, Agriculture, Mineralogy, etc.

Based on Recent Scientific Literature

FIFTH EDITION

Completely Revised and Edited by

ROGER GRANT

M.A., D. de l'U., Ph.D., C. Chem., M.R.S.C. Consultant

CLAIRE GRANT

M.B., B.S., M.R.C.P.E. Medical Practitioner

McGRAW-HILL BOOK COMPANY

New York St. Louis San Francisco Auckland Bogotd Hamburg Johannesburg London Madrid Mexico Milan Montreal New Delhi Pasama Paris Sdo Paulo Singapore Sydney Tokyo Toronto made in a web on a continuous wire, as with paper, but with a greater thickness particle ~ (1) See particleboard (2) Chipboard

b. foot. A unit of volume of boards sawn from logs, equal to the volume of a bill in thick and 1 ft² in area, i.e. + ft³ Cf.

Board of Trade unit BTU Former British unit of electric energy 1 B T U = 1 kWh Ci British thermal unit. boart Bort

Boas reagent. A solution of 5 g resorcinol and 3 g sugar in 100 g dilute alcohol. A test for hydrochloric acid in gastric juice a rose-red color develops on boiling.

boat. A small, elongated vessel of porcelain, quartz, tantalum. or platinum which can be inserted in a combustion tube. b. conformation. See conformation.

bobierite Mg₂P₂O₇ 8H₂O A native crystalline phosphate in

Bobina rayon Trademark for a viscose synthetic fiber

Bobol Trademark for a viscose synthetic fiber

B.O.D. Biochemical oxygen demand

bodies (1) Biochemical substances of similar structure (2) Cellular structures in protoplasm acetone - Substances. as, acetone, acetoacetic acid, or 2-hydroxybutanoic acid, in urine alloxur ~ A compound of unc acid and alloxan, secreted in the urine; as, the purine b. Buchner ~ A defensive protein of the organism. purine ~ A derivative of uric acid: as xanthine

body (1) The trunk of an animal or plant. (2) The largest part of an organ. (3) The consistency or viscosity of a liquid. (4) A limited portion of matter. (5) The strength of a liquid; as, wine. black ~ See Stefan-Boltzmann equation, black body

b. fluids See blood, lymph b. tube The portion of the microscope which carries the objective, and inside which slides the draw tube.

boehmite AlO-OH. A form of bauxite

Boerhaave, Herman (1668-1738) Dutch pioneer of modern chemistry, noted for his textbook.

box. A marsh or morass. b. berry. The fruit of Vaccinium oxycoccus, cranberry. b. butter Butyrelite. A soft mineral occurring in marshes. b. iron ore Bogore. b. manganese Wad b. ore Bogore.

Bogert, Marston Taylor (1868-1957) American chemist, noted for his work on organic synthesis.

boghead A carbonaceous rock, or cannel with a high iron carbonate content. b. naphtha Photogen.

bog iron ore Bogore.

bog manganese Wad.

bogore 2Fe₂O₃: 3H₂O. Bog iron ore, marsh ore, brown iron ore, brown hematite, brown ocher, limonite. A hydrous ferric oxide with some ferrous carbonate, from marshy places; a source of iron

Bohr, Niels (1885-1962) Danish physicist; Nobel prize (1922) for his theory of atomic structures. B. atom A. hypothesis of atomic structure, q v; the electrons move in circular or elliptical orbits around a positive nucleus. resembling a very small solar system. B. magneton See magneton B. radius. The radius of the ground state orbit of the hydrogen atom. $a_0 = 5.29177 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}$ B. theory Spectrum lines are produced (1) by emission of radiation (energy) when electrons drop from an orbit of greater to lower energy (energy levels); or (2) by absorption of radiation (energy) when the electrons move from an orbit of lower to higher energy. Cf. quantum spectrum series, Stoner quanta, correspondence principle

boil Quick ebullition or vaporization of a liquid by heat and/ or low pressure

boiled oil Linseed oil which has been heated to 210-260°C. and thereby hardens more readily; used in varnishes and lacquers

boiler. An open or closed vessel for evaporating liquids, cooking food or generating steam vacuum ~ A closed b in which evaporation of a liquid is caused by low pressure. with or without heat.

b. compound. A substance used to prevent the formation of b scale Cf water softening. b. fluid A solution which prevents the formation of a compact b incrustation b. incrustation. The insoluble mass, deposited on the sides of a vessel in which hard water has been evaporated, of calcium and magnesium carbonates and sulfates b. mud. A loose deposit of b incrustations b. scale. A compact, thick layer of successive deposits. b. stone B. scale.

boilers Group name for nitrocellulose and lacquer solvents q v , arranged in order of their boiling points. low ~ B. below 100°C medium ~ B near 125 °C. high ~ B from 150-200°C

boiling. The state of ebullition, the brisk change from the liquid to the vapor state

boiling point Bp, b. The temperature at which, under a specified pressure, a liquid is transformed into a vapor; i.e., at which the vapor pressure of the liquid equals that of the surrounding gas or vapor Cf. Clapeyron equation. b. p. apparatus. A device to determine the b.p. of a liquid under a definite pressure. lowering of b. p. The decrease in pressure from lowering the b.p.; e.g., by lowering the pressure 10 mm, water will boil 0.37 C lower. b. p. elevation. The raising of the b.p. of a liquid because of the presence of a dissolved substance. The rise is a function of the substance's molecular weight and may be used to determine it; I mole/liter in water causes a rise of 0.51°C. Cf. Raoult's Law, Beckmann apparatus Boisbaudran, Paul Émile Lecoq de (1838-1912) French scientist, discoverer of gallium, samarium, and dysprosium. boldine $C_{19}H_{21}NO_4 = 327.4$. An alkaloid from the leaves of Peumus boldus (Monimiaceae). Gray powder, insoluble in water. Cf. laurotetanine.

boldoglucin $C_{30}H_{53}O_8 = 541.7$. A glucoside from the leaves of Peumus boldus. A thick syrup.

bole (1) A fine clay, colored by iron. (2) The trunk or stem of a tree. (3) A measure of corn; 6 bushels red ~ Ocher. white ~ Kaolin.

boleite. A native hydrous oxychloride of lead, silver, and copper; blue crystalline masses (Boleo, lower California). Boletus A genus of edible fungi or mushrooms

Bologna phosphorus Luminestent barium sulfide. bolometer. A device for measuring minute quantities of radiant heat from the change in the conductivity of a black

Boltaflex Trademark for a mixed-polymer synthetic fiber bolting cloth. A fabric of unsized silk, used for sieves boltonite Mg2SiO4 A native variety of fostente **Boltzmann** B. constant $k = 1.3807 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K. The gas constant, R, divided by the Avogadro constant. Cf. Maxwell-B distribution law. B. equation See molecular free path. B. law The law of equipartition of energy: The total kinetic energy of a system, due to translation, rotation, vibration, etc., is equally divided among all the degrees of freedom. The energy per degree of freedom is 0.5 RT per gram molecule

bolus (1) Masticated food ready to be swallowed (2) Kaolin (3) A small, rounded mass

bomb (1) A projectile of iron or steel filled with a nuclear device, or explosive, poisonous, or incendiary substances, may be used in chemical warfare. (2) A heavy iron tube containing